

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR,
CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1710/2005

PETITIONER

O.P. Awasthy,
S/o Late Shri R.G.Awasthy,
Aged about 50 years,
Presently working as
Accountant in the office of the
Divisional Forest Office, East
Raipur Division, District
Raipur, C.G.
R/o Bramhapuri, Raipur,
District Raipur, C.G.


17/6/05
P.R. No.
Presented by Shri. *Satendra Singh*
dated *19-06-05*

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

RECEIVED-COPY
19-06-05
CLERK to A. C. BILASPUR

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Forest,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Chief Conservator of
Forest, Government of
Chhattisgarh, Jail Road,
Raipur, C.G.
3. The Conservator of Forest,
Raipur Circle, Jail Road,
Raipur, C.G.
4. Shri G.P.Sharma,
Accountant,
Through Office of the
Conservator of the Forest,
Raipur Circle, Raipur, C.G.



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN
THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION,
CERTIORARI AND ANY OTHER WRITS, DIRECTIONS OR
ORDERS.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ६४००/१७१०२००० सन् २००५

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3.5.2005 Shri Satendra Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for the State. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he was promoted to the post of Accountant on 26.10.1987, whereas respondent No.4 was promoted on 1.1.1988, as such, the petitioner being the senior in terms of the date of promotion entitled for promotion on the post of Head Clerk prior to respondent No.4. Therefore, order dated 11.4.2005 whereby respondent No.4 has been put above the petitioner in the seniority list is incorrect and order dated 12.4.2005 promoting respondent No.4 by-passing the petitioner is arbitrary and illegal. Counsel for the petitioner submits that in the circumstances the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and in turn respondent No.2 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law, to which learned Panel Lawyer for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having perused the record and having considered the limited request made by counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation within 10 days from today to respondent No.2 and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the order after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.1332/05 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge (पाठ दाखल)